A SHARP VANISHING THEOREM FOR LINE BUNDLES ON K3 OR ENRIQUES SURFACES

ANDREAS LEOPOLD KNUTSEN* AND ANGELO FELICE LOPEZ**

ABSTRACT. Let L be a line bundle on a K3 or Enriques surface. We give a vanishing theorem for $H^1(L)$ that, unlike most vanishing theorems, gives necessary and sufficient geometrical conditions for the vanishing. This result is essential in our study of Brill-Noether theory of curves on Enriques surfaces [KL1] and of Enriques-Fano threefolds [KLM].

1. Introduction

Since Grothendieck's introduction of basic tools such as the cohomology of sheaves and the Grothendieck-Riemann-Roch theorem, vanishing theorems have proved to be essential in many studies in algebraic geometry.

Perhaps the most influential one, at least for line bundles, is the well-known Kawamata-Viehweg vanishing theorem ([K, V]) which, in its simplest form, asserts that $H^i(K_X + \mathcal{L}) = 0$ for i > 0 and any big and nef line bundle \mathcal{L} on a smooth variety X. On the other hand, as most vanishing theorems (even for special surfaces [CD, Thm.1.5.1]), it gives only sufficient conditions for the vanishing. Practice shows though that, in many situations, it would be very useful to know that a certain vanishing is equivalent to some geometrical/numerical properties of \mathcal{L} .

In this short note we accomplish the above goal for line bundles on a K3 or Enriques surface, by proving that, when $L^2 > 0$, the vanishing of $H^1(L)$ is equivalent to the fact that the intersection of L with all effective divisors of self-intersection -2 is at least -1.

In the statement of the theorem we will employ the following

Definition 1.1. Let X be a smooth surface. We will denote by \sim (respectively \equiv) the linear (respectively numerical) equivalence of divisors (or line bundles) on X. We will say that a line bundle L is **primitive** if $L \equiv kL'$ for some line bundle L' and some integer k implies $k = \pm 1$.

Theorem.

Let X be a K3 or an Enriques surface and let L be a line bundle on X such that L>0 and $L^2 \geq 0$. Then $H^1(L) \neq 0$ if and only if one of the three following occurs:

- (i) $L \sim nE$ for E > 0 nef and primitive with $E^2 = 0$, n > 2 and $h^1(L) = n 1$ if X is a K3 surface, $h^1(L) = \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$ if X is an Enriques surface;
- (ii) $L \sim nE + K_X$ for E > 0 nef and primitive with $E^2 = 0$, X is an Enriques surface, $n \geq 3$ and $h^1(L) = \lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor$; (iii) there is a divisor $\Delta > 0$ such that $\Delta^2 = -2$ and $\Delta \cdot L \leq -2$.

^{*} Research partially supported by a Marie Curie Intra-European Fellowship within the 6th European Community Framework Programme.

^{**} Research partially supported by the MIUR national project "Geometria delle varietà algebriche"

²⁰⁰⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 14F17, 14J28. Secondary 14C20.

Note that the hypothesis L > 0 is not restrictive since, if L is nontrivial, from $L^2 \ge 0$ we get by Riemann-Roch that either L > 0 or $K_X - L > 0$, and $h^1(L) = h^1(K_X - L)$ by Serre duality.

The theorem has of course many possible applications. For example, if L is base-point free and |P| is an elliptic pencil on X, the knowledge of $h^0(L-nP)$ for $n \geq 1$ (which follows by Riemann-Roch if we know that $h^1(L-nP)=0$) determines the type of scroll spanned by the divisors of |P| in $\mathbb{P}H^0(L)$ and containing $\varphi_L(X)$ ([SD, KJ, Co]). Most importantly for us, this result proves crucial in our study of the Brill-Noether theory [KL1, KL2] and Gaussian maps [KL3] of curves lying on an Enriques surface, and especially in our proof of a genus bound for threefolds having an Enriques surface as a hyperplane section given in [KLM].

Acknowledgments. The authors wish to thank Roberto Muñoz for several helpful discussions.

2. Proof of the Theorem

We first record the following simple but useful fact.

Lemma 2.1. Let X be a smooth surface and let A > 0 and B > 0 be divisors on X such that $A^2 \ge 0$ and $B^2 \ge 0$. Then $A.B \ge 0$ with equality if and only if there exists a primitive divisor F > 0 and integers $a \ge 1, b \ge 1$ such that $F^2 = 0$ and $A \equiv aF, B \equiv bF$.

Proof. The first assertion follows from the signature theorem [BPV, VIII.1]. If A.B=0, then we cannot have $A^2>0$, otherwise the Hodge index theorem implies the contradiction $B\equiv 0$. Therefore $A^2=B^2=0$. Now let H be an ample line bundle on X and set $\alpha=A.H,\beta=B.H$. We have $(\beta A-\alpha B)^2=0$ and $(\beta A-\alpha B).H=0$, therefore $\beta A\equiv \alpha B$ by the Hodge index theorem. As there is no torsion in Num(X) we can find a divisor F as claimed.

We now proceed with the theorem.

Proof. One immediately sees that $h^1(L)$ has the given values in (i) and (ii). In the case (iii) we first observe that $h^2(L-\Delta)=0$. In fact $(K_X-L+\Delta)^2>0$, whence if $K_X-L+\Delta\geq 0$ the signature theorem [BPV, VIII.1] implies $0\leq L.(K_X-L+\Delta)=-L^2+L.\Delta\leq -2$, a contradiction. Therefore by Riemann-Roch we get

$$\frac{1}{2}L^2 + \chi(\mathcal{O}_X) < \frac{1}{2}L^2 - \Delta L - 1 + \chi(\mathcal{O}_X) \le h^0(L - \Delta) \le h^0(L) = \frac{1}{2}L^2 + \chi(\mathcal{O}_X) + h^1(L)$$

whence $h^1(L) > 0$.

Now assume that $h^1(L) > 0$.

First we suppose that L is nef. By Riemann-Roch we have that $L + K_X > 0$. Since $h^1(-(L + K_X)) = h^1(L) > 0$, by [BPV, Lemma12.2], we deduce that $L + K_X$ is not 1-connected, whence that there exist L' > 0 and L'' > 0 such that $L + K_X \sim L' + L''$ and $L'.L'' \le 0$. Now $(L')^2 \ge (L')^2 + L'.L'' = L'.L \ge 0$ and similarly $(L'')^2 \ge 0$, whence Lemma 2.1 implies that $L' \equiv aE$, $L'' \equiv bE$ for some $a, b \ge 1$ and for E > 0 nef and primitive with $E^2 = 0$. This gives us the two cases (i) and (ii).

Now assume that L is not nef, so that the set

$$A_1(L) := \{ \Delta > 0 : \Delta^2 = -2, \Delta . L \le -1 \}$$

is not empty. Similarly define the set

$$A_2(L) = \{\Delta > 0 : \Delta^2 = -2, \Delta . L \le -2\}.$$

If $\mathcal{A}_2(L) \neq \emptyset$ we are done. Assume therefore that $\mathcal{A}_2(L) = \emptyset$ and pick $\Gamma \in \mathcal{A}_1(L)$. Then $\Gamma L = -1$, and we can clearly assume that Γ is irreducible. Hence if we set $L_1 = L - \Gamma$ we have that $L_1 > 0$, $L_1^2 = L^2$ and, since $h^0(L_1) = h^0(L)$, also that $h^1(L_1) = h^1(L) > 0$. If L_1 is nef, by what we have just seen, we have $L_1 \equiv nE$, for $n \geq 2$, whence $L \equiv nE + \Gamma$

and $-1 = \Gamma . L = nE . \Gamma - 2$, a contradiction.

Therefore L_1 is not nef and $\mathcal{A}_1(L_1) \neq \emptyset$.

If $A_2(L_1) \neq \emptyset$ we pick a $\Delta \in A_2(L_1)$. We have $-2 \geq \Delta \cdot L_1 = \Delta \cdot (L - \Gamma) \geq -1 - \Delta \cdot \Gamma$, whence $\Delta.\Gamma \ge 1$, $(\Delta + \Gamma)^2 \ge -2$ and $(\Delta + \Gamma).L_1 \le -1$. Now Lemma 2.1 yields $(\Delta + \Gamma)^2 = -2$, so that $\Delta \cdot \Gamma = 1$. Also $-1 \le \Delta \cdot L = \Delta \cdot (L_1 + \Gamma) \le -1$, whence $\Delta \cdot L = -1$ and $(\Delta + \Gamma) \cdot L = -2$, contradicting $A_2(L) = \emptyset$.

We have therefore shown that $A_2(L_1) = \emptyset$.

This means that we can continue the process. But the process must eventually stop, since we always remove base components. This gives the desired contradiction.

Remark 2.2. A naive guess, to insure the vanishing of $H^1(L)$ for a line bundle L>0with $L^2 > 0$, could be that it is enough to add the hypothesis L.R > -1 for every irreducible rational curve R. However this is not true. Take, for example, a nef divisor B with $B^2 \geq 4$ and two irreducible rational curves R_1, R_2 such that $B.R_i = 0, R_1.R_2 = 1$. Then $L:=B+R_1+R_2$ satisfies the above requirements, but $L(R_1+R_2)=-2$, whence $H^1(L)\neq 0$ by the theorem.

Remark 2.3. It would be of interest to know if, in the statement of the theorem, it is possible to replace divisors $\Delta > 0$ such that $\Delta^2 = -2$ with chains of irreducible rational

Definition 2.4. An effective line bundle L on a K3 or Enriques surface is said to be **quasi-nef** if $L^2 \ge 0$ and $L.\Delta \ge -1$ for every Δ such that $\Delta > 0$ and $\Delta^2 = -2$.

An immediate consequence of the theorem is

Corollary 2.5. An effective line bundle L on a K3 or Enriques surface is quasi-nef if and only if $L^2 \geq 0$ and either $h^1(L) = 0$ or $L \equiv nE$ for some $n \geq 2$ and some primitive and nef divisor E > 0 with $E^2 = 0$.

References

- W. Barth, C. Peters, A. van de Ven. Compact complex surfaces. Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete 4. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1984.
- [CD]F. R. Cossec, I. V. Dolgachev. Enriques Surfaces I. Progress in Mathematics 76. Birkhäuser Boston, MA, 1989.
- [Co] F. R. Cossec. Projective models of Enriques surfaces. Math. Ann. 265 (1983), 283-334.
- Y. Kawamata. A generalization of Kodaira-Ramanujam's vanishing theorem. Math. Ann. 261 [K](1982), 43-46.
- [KJ] T. Johnsen, A. L. Knutsen. K3 projective models in scrolls. Lecture Notes in Mathematics 1842. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2004.
- [KL1] A. L. Knutsen, A. F. Lopez. Brill-Noether theory of curves on Enriques surfaces I: the positive cone and gonality. Preprint 2006.
- [KL2] A. L. Knutsen, A. F. Lopez. Brill-Noether theory of curves on Enriques surfaces II. In preparation.
- [KL3] A. L. Knutsen, A. F. Lopez. Surjectivity of Gaussian maps for curves on Enriques surfaces. Adv. Geom. 7 (2007), 215–247.
- [KLM] A. L. Knutsen, A. F. Lopez, R. Muñoz. On the extendability of projective surfaces and a genus bound for Enriques-Fano threefolds. Preprint 2006.
- [SD]B. Saint-Donat. Projective models of K - 3 surfaces. Amer. J. Math. 96 (1974), 602–639.
- [V] E. Viehweg. Vanishing theorems. J. Reine Angew. Math. 335 (1982), 1–8.

Andreas Leopold Knutsen, Dipartimento di Matematica, Università di Roma Tre, Largo San Leonardo Murialdo 1, 00146, Roma, Italy. e-mail knutsen@mat.uniroma3.it

ANGELO FELICE LOPEZ, DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA, UNIVERSITÀ DI ROMA TRE, LARGO SAN LEONARDO MURIALDO 1, 00146, ROMA, ITALY. E-MAIL lopez@mat.uniroma3.it